UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	DGC GTENT REECTROLUGALLY GILES 5000
LEONARD PINA-RODGRIGUEZ, Plaintiff,	x : :
v. GARY GARBUTT; U.S. MOBILE IMAGING, LLC; and CORRECTIONAL DIAGNOSTIC IMAGING, INC., Defendants.	THIRD AMENDED ORDER OF SERVICE 18 CV 5167 (VB)

Briccetti, J.:

On September 3, 2020, plaintiff, proceeding <u>pro se</u> and <u>in forma pauperis</u>, filed a second amended complaint in this action, naming two new defendants: U.S. Mobile Imaging, LLC ("U.S. Mobile"), and Correctional Diagnostic Imaging, Inc. ("CDI"). (See Doc. #64).

During an on-the-record conference today, counsel for Gary Garbutt indicated he also represents U.S. Mobile in this matter, and agreed to accept service on behalf of U.S. Mobile in lieu of forma service of process through the U.S. Marshals Service.

Accordingly, to allow plaintiff to effect service on CDI through the U.S. Marshals Service, the Clerk of Court is instructed to fill out a U.S. Marshals Service Process Receipt and Return form ("USM-285 form") for this defendant. The Clerk of Court is further instructed to issue a summons as to this defendant and deliver to the Marshals Service all of the paperwork necessary for the Marshals Service to effect service upon this defendant. Although plaintiff has not provided an address for service on CDI, an address for this defendant is listed on what appears to be this defendant's website: http://cdimri.com/contact.html. Accordingly, the service address for this defendant is appended to this Order.

Case 7:18-cv-05167-VB Document 66 Filed 09/04/20 Page 2 of 3

It is plaintiff's responsibility to ensure that service is made within 90 days of the date the

summons is issued and, if necessary, to request an extension of time for service. See Meilleur v.

Strong, 682 F.3d 56, 63 (2d Cir. 2012).

Plaintiff also must notify the Court in writing if plaintiff's address changes, and the Court

may dismiss the action if he fails to do so.

CONCLUSION

The Court directs the Clerk of Court to complete the USM-285 forms with the addresses

for Correctional Diagnostic Imaging, Inc., and deliver to the U.S. Marshals Service all

documents necessary to effect service on this defendant.

Chambers will mail a copy of this Order to plaintiff at the address on the docket.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this Order would

not be taken in good faith, and therefore in forma pauperis status is denied for the purpose of an

appeal. Cf. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant

demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

Dated: September 4, 2020

White Plains, New York

United States District Judge

2

<u>APPENDIX</u>

 Correctional Diagnostic Imaging, Inc. P.O. Box 404 Lititz, PA 17543